

# CONSERVE & PROTECT: NSF ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT & REUSE STANDARDS

## Derek DeLand, MPH, REHS/RS Government Affairs Manager – EH Programs NSF

Note: Materials presented represent the opinions of the presenter, not the opinions of NOWRA

## Agenda

## Introduction

• Who We Are

## **Standards Overview**

• The How & The Why

## A Deeper Look

- NSF 40: Residential Treatment Systems
- NSF 245: Nitrogen Reduction
- NSF 350: Wastewater Reuse

## **Our Foundation**

In 1944,

NSF was founded as the National Sanitation Foundation in the University of Michigan's School of Public Health.



We are now NSF International, with corporate headquarters in Ann Arbor, MI, USA, and 75 office and partner locations worldwide.

Today,

We are an **independent**, not-for-profit organization dedicated to providing services to **improve human and planet health**.



- Chemicals
- Nonfood compounds
- Water distribution and treatment
- Recreational water equipment
- Onsite WastewaterSystems

- FDAUSDA
- International (HC, etc.)
- State and local government agencies

- Equipment specifiers
- Test Labs
- > Architects
- Academia/educators
- Consumer groups

### **NSF Wastewater Treatment Standards**

NSF/ANSI 40 - Residential onsite systems NSF/ANSI 41 - Non-liquid treatment systems NSF/ANSI 46 - Components and devices NSF/ANSI 245 - Nitrogen reduction NSF/ANSI 350 - Onsite water reuse



## Value of Standards & Certifications

#### Uniformity across the industry

Consistent methods and measures of analysis

Certifications  $\rightarrow$  Independent confirmation the system works (BOD & N reduction, etc.)

Takes burden of testing/review of products off regulators

Can easily be referenced in codes

Fills a need



### We Have a Nutrient Problem

Nutrient reduction in U.S. waterways is a concern across the country.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency states, "Nutrient pollution is one of America's most widespread, costly and challenging environmental problems impacting water quality."

Onsite wastewater systems are one way that nutrients nitrogen and phosphorus—are introduced into receiving waters.

Ground water elevation rise presents further risk of contamination





NSF/ANSI 40 Residential wastewater treatment systems & NSF/ANSI 245 Wastewater treatment systems – nitrogen reduction

### **States with NSF 40/245 References in Onsite Regulation/Codes**



## **NSF/ANSI Standards 40 and 245**

Any residential system with a treatment capacity of 400-1500 gal/day.

- NSF/ANSI 40: CBOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS reduction.
- NSF/ANSI 245: Nitrogen reduction (plus meet NSF/ANSI 40)
- No service or maintenance during entire six-month test
- All test data reported & no discard of any data except if test facility fails to provide an acceptable test.



## NSF/ANSI Standard 40 and 245

### **26 Week Minimum Evaluation Period**

- 16 weeks design loading
  - $_{\odot}\,$  6:00 am to 9:00 am; 35%
  - $_{\odot}\,$  11:00 am to 2:00 pm; 25%
  - $_{\odot}~$  5:00 pm to 8:00 pm; 40%
- 7.5 weeks stress/design loading
  - wash-day
  - $\circ$  working-parent
  - power/equipment failure
  - $_{\circ}$  Vacation
- 2.5 weeks design loading



- 24-hour composite
- Standard 40: five days/week
- Standard 245: three days/week



## NSF/ANSI Standards 40 and 245

#### Influent Wastewater Characteristics (NSF 40 & 245)

- BOD<sub>5</sub>: 100 mg/L 300 mg/L
- TSS: 100 mg/L 350 mg/L
- Alkalinity: > 175 mg/L
- TKN: 35 mg/L 70 mg/L (NSF 245 only)
- Temp: 10 C 30 C (50 F- 86 F) (NSF 245 only)
- pH: 6.5 9 (NSF 245 only)

#### Effluent criteria (NSF 40 & 245)

- CBOD<sub>5</sub>: 25 mg/L (30 day avg) = minimum 75% reduction
- CBOD<sub>5</sub>: 40 mg/L (7 day avg)
- TSS: 30 mg/L (30 day avg) = minimum 70% reduction
- TSS: 45 mg/L (7 day avg)
- pH: 6.0 9.0
- Total Nitrogen (NSF 245 ONLY): minimum 50% reduction



## **Continued Compliance & Service Agreements**

Manufacturer's Must Provide:

- Two-year initial service policy w/ 4 site visits
- Extended policy available for a fee
- Stand by parts in stock

Manufacturer's Must Undergo:

- Production Facility Audits
- Field Audits of Authorized Reps (Installations & Service Visits)
- 5-year Product Reassessments



Wastewater Reuse System Standards (NSF/ANSI 350)

## What are the Barriers/Benefits to Onsite Reuse?

#### BENEFITS

- Large percentage has modest level of contamination.
- Treated onsite to meet final application needs (fit-for-purpose).
- Water already available onsite; no more cost or energy needed to transport water.

### BARRIERS

- Consumer perception with use of lower quality water.
- Inexpensive cost of potable water for many regions.
- Lack of residential plumbing infrastructure to accommodate partially treated water.
- Lack of enabling regulatory codes.





Water, Version 2, 2016

## **Reuse Is Rising In Popularity**

Decentralized/Onsite should gain in adoption as need continues to rise and centralized infrastructure isn't available



States with Centralized Non-Potable Reuse

#### States with Onsite Non-Potable Reuse Regulations or Guidelines



## **NSF/ANSI 350 in Codes and Policies**

- International Plumbing Code (IPC)
- Uniform Plumbing Code (UPC)
- International Residential Code (IRC)
- International Green Construction Code (IgCC)
- Several State Onsite Codes
- General Services Administration (GSA) Facilities Standards for Federal Buildings (P100)



## **Broad Scope of Non-potable Reuse Applications**

### Uses For Treated Effluent:

- Irrigation\*
- Toilet/urinal flushing\*
- Vehicle washing
- Fire protection
- Fountains
- Dust control
- Construction
- Laundry

\*currently written into NSF 350 scope



## NSF 350 Influent & Effluent Parameters (26 week test)

NSF

Parameter	Required Challenge Influent Range	Required Effluen R)	t Results (Class	Required Effluent Results (Class C)		
		Test Avg	Single Test Maximum	Test Avg	Single Test Maximum	
TSS	GW: 50 – 160 mg/L	10 mg/l	20 mg/l	10 mg/l	20 mg/l	
	WW: 100 – 350 mg/L	10 mg/L	SO HIG/L	10 mg/L	SO HIG/L	
BOD <sub>5</sub> /CBOD <sub>5</sub>	GW: 130 – 210 mg/L	10 mg/l	25 mg/l	10 mg/l	25 mg/l	
	WW: 100 – 300 mg/L	10 mg/L	25 mg/L	TO HIG/L	25 mg/L	
рН	6.0 - 8.5	6.0 - 9.0	NA	6.0 - 9.0	NA	
Turbidity	30 - 100 NTU	5 NTU	10 NTU	2 NTU	5 NTU	
E. Coli (per 100 mL)	10 <sup>2</sup> – 10 <sup>6</sup> cfu	14 MPN	240 MPN	2.2 MPN	200 MPN	
Storage Vessel Disinfection	N/A	.5 - 2.5 mg/L	N/A	5 - 2.5 mg/L	N/A	



## NSF/ANSI 350 – Risk-Based Framework

National Blue-Ribbon Commission (NBRC)

- Developed risk-based methodology using log-reduction targets (LRTs)
  - $\circ$  Example: 4 log reduction = %99.99
- Based on effectiveness of validated treatment components and continuous surrogate monitoring rather than end-point sampling
- Currently for non-single-family operations only

EPA Water Reuse Action Plan and several states have embraced the log-reduction target (LRT) approach

NSF/ANSI 350 Joint Committee passed language looking to do the same (Annex N-2)

• This adds needed viral and protozoan treatment criteria



## **NBRC Contributors**

The commission is comprised of representatives from municipalities, water utilities and public health agencies from 15 states, the District of Columbia, the city of Toronto, the city of Vancouver, US EPA, and US Army Engineer Research and Development Center (see map below). See the full list of <u>commissioners</u>.



CO, CA, MN, WA, HI, have already passed legislation adopting risk-based (i.e. LRT) frameworks

TX, AK, OR, & NYC have expressed interest and/or are moving forward with plans to adopt

### **EPA WRAP Supports LRT Adoption** (NSF is an Action Partner – Item 2.18)

www.epa.gov/waterreuse/national-water-reuse-action-plan-online-platform



### Risk-Based Approach Under NSF 350 Annex N-2 How Does It Work?

- 1. LRT assessment is an optional evaluation/certification.
- 2. Applicable to commercial and multi-family units only.
- 3. Maintains current NSF/ANSI 350 testing and conformance as a base requirement
- 4. Log-reduction credits (LRCs) are granted per individual validated treatment component
- 5. Sum of all LRCs must be greater than the log-reduction target (LRT) of a specific source water and end use (i.e. fit-for-purpose)
- 6. Requires continuously monitoring surrogate performance parameters to reduce the burden of expensive continuous sampling for viruses and protozoa by the end user.

## Log Reduction Targets and Credits

Table N-2.1: Log Reduction Targets for specific indicators and intended <u>use<sup>1</sup></u>						
End Use	Enteric virus	Parasitic protozoan	Enteric bacteria			
Onsite Wastewater – Irrigation	8.5	6.5	5.5			
Onsite Wastewater for Indoor non-potable use	10	6.5	5.5			
Graywater irrigation	6.5	4	3			
Graywater for indoor non- potable use	7.5	4	3.5			
<sup>1</sup> Log-Reduction Targets based on EPA-derived DALYS (NBRC 2023)						

Table N-2.2: Log Reduction Credit Values for Specific Wastewater Treatment and Disinfection Processes<sup>1</sup>

Process	Virus	Protozoan	Bacteria
MBR <sup>2</sup>	1	2.5	4
Ultra and Micro Filter <sup>3</sup>	0	4	0
UV <sup>4</sup>	Up to 6	Up to 6	Up to 6
Cl <sup>5</sup>	Up to 5	0	Up to 5
Ozone 6	Up to 4	Up to 3	Up to 4

## **Component Validation: UV example**

### **Validation Methods:**

- NSF/ANSI 55
- EPA UV Disinfection Guidance Manual
- NWRI UV Disinfection Guidelines for Water Reuse

Table N-2.3 Log Reduction Credits per Validated UV dose (applies for validation protocols using MS2)							
Minimum total validated dose (mJ/cm²)	Virus credit <sup>1</sup>	Protozoa credit <sup>2</sup>	Bacteria credit <sup>3</sup>				
40	2	3	2				
60	2.75	4.5	2.75				
80	3.5	6	3.5				
120	5	6	5				
150 (and above)	6	6	6				
This table was adapted from WRF/NBRC Onsite Non-Potable Water System Guidance Manual, 2020							
<sup>2</sup> Protozoa credit based on recommendations from EPA (2006)							
<sup>3</sup> Bacteria credit conservatively based on virus credit.							

## **Continuous Monitoring Capability: UV example**

Since effluent is not being constantly sampled for all microbiological hazards, continuous monitoring of operational parameters ensures LRCs are achieved

N-2.4.3.5 Methods for continuous monitoring are required and shall include:

 UV Intensity (UVI). UVI shall remain within documented operating parameters of the UV device to retain log-reduction credits; and

 flow rate. Flow rate shall remain within documented operating parameters of the UV device to retain log-reduction credits.

Example System: Greywater for Indoor Use (toilet flushing)										
.5	1BR NTU	•	U 3 @ 40	v V mJ/cm2			Cl (CT 7 mg	-min/L)		
Pathogens	LR	LRC per component process			To	otal LRC	Total L	RT		
	MBR		UV	Cl		A	chieved	Requi	red	
Virus	1.0		5.0	2.0			8.0	7.5		
Protozoa	2.5		6.0	0			8.5	4.0		
Bacteria	4.0		5.0	2.0			11.0	3.5		

Proposed system would pass for NSF 350 LRT certification since Total LRC  $\geq$  LRT required\*.

\*Assumes system also has met all other requirements including having sensors for continuous monitoring of turbidity, UVI, flow rate, free chlorine residual

## **Looking Forward/Horizon Scan**

Crediting methodologies for other technologies that do not have current frameworks

Single-family residence reuse (NSF 350 covers this currently, but will it need updating?)

Do energy and nutrient recovery need to become part of the equation?

Onsite direct potable reuse (DPR) standard?

- Desirable to some, but there are obstacles
  - Will require a look beyond microbiologics for treatment

 $_{\odot}$  Who will monitor for safety/compliance and how?



## **Summary / Take Aways**

- 1. NSF standards are the American National Standards (consensus driven) and are a free resource for regulators
- 2. Onsite wastewater treatment standards are valuable public health tools
  - protection of water quality & public health
  - conserving water resources
  - easy adoption into codes and streamlines approvals
- 3. Risk-Based methodology using LRTs is being implemented to better address microbiological hazards in water reuse in a cost-effective manner



## **Questions**?

Derek DeLand, MPH, REHS/RS Environmental Health Programs Manager, Government Affairs NSF International e: ddeland@nsf.org ph: 734-418-6683